



Signed and Filed: May 24, 2021

*Dennis Montali*

DENNIS MONTALI  
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re: ) Bankruptcy Case  
PG&E CORPORATION, ) No. 19-30088-DM  
 ) Chapter 11  
- and - ) Jointly Administered  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, )  
Reorganized Debtors. )  
☐ Affects PG&E Corporation )  
☐ Affects Pacific Gas and )  
Electric Company )  
☒ Affects both Debtors )  
\* All papers shall be filed in )  
the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM). )

**ORDER REGARDING CLAIM OF EDGAR PERRY**

Mr. Edgar Perry has filed three proofs of claim in these cases, each in the amount of \$3,000, as follows:

Date	Claim Amount	Proof of Claim #
8/14/2019	\$3,000	# 7087
12/11/2019	\$3,000	# 92615
7/23/2020	\$3,000	# 106185

1 The three claims appear to be identical, and all assert  
2 damages to Mr. Perry's property arising from tree trimming.

3 At a hearing on November 17, 2020, counsel for Reorganized  
4 Debtors argued that Claim # 92615, that had been objected to as  
5 part of the Nineteenth (19<sup>th</sup>) Omnibus Claims Objection, was  
6 duplicative of Claim # 106185, so the earlier claim was  
7 disallowed. Mr. Perry appeared telephonically and did not  
8 object. Counsel for Reorganized Debtors did not mention that  
9 Claim # 106185 had not been filed until July 23, 2020.

10 As part of the Fortieth (40<sup>th</sup>) Omnibus Claims Objection,  
11 Reorganized Debtors objected to Mr. Perry's Claim # 7087 on the  
12 basis that the Reorganized Debtors are not liable for the claim.  
13 The last day for claimants to respond was December 1, 2020. Mr.  
14 Perry did not respond, so that claim was disallowed by order  
15 filed December 18, 2020 at Dkt. No. 9866.

16 Now, as part of the Seventy-Seventh (77<sup>th</sup>) Omnibus Claims  
17 Objection, Reorganized Debtors contend that Mr. Perry's Claim #  
18 106185 should be disallowed as untimely. This is unacceptable  
19 and will not be permitted. Mr. Perry has appeared *in pro se* and  
20 should not have been misled, whether intentionally or  
21 inadvertently, about this anomalous result. His timely filed  
22 claim should not have been disallowed as duplicative, or his  
23 later claim should be allowed as a timely amendment of the  
24 original claim.

25 Based upon this record, there is no pending objection to  
26 Mr. Perry's singular claim, regardless of which claim number  
27 applies. As an allowed claim, it should be paid unless there is  
28 a substantive objection. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

1       Accordingly, the court is dropping the May 26, 2021 hearing  
2 on the claim objection as to Mr. Perry's claim and giving  
3 Reorganized Debtors twenty days from the date of entry of this  
4 order to object on any ground other than timeliness or  
5 duplication. If they do not object, the claim should be paid  
6 promptly. Because of this size of this claim, it should not be  
7 referred to mediation under the General ADR Procedures as  
8 referenced in the court's *Order Approving ADR and Related*  
9 *Procedures for Resolving General Claims* of September 25, 2020  
10 (Dkt. No. 9148).

11                   **\*\*END OF ORDER\*\***

COURT SERVICE LIST

Edgar Perry  
2540 Market Avenue  
San Pablo, CA 94806-4542